UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,636	02/28/2002	Kazuhiro Ogura	016907-1378	3699
	7590 05/07/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500			BEKERMAN, MICHAEL	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/084,636	OGURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL BEKERMAN	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE STATE OF THE	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-18 is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light series.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rrmal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to papers filed on 1/23/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, and 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Treyz (U.S. Patent No. 6,587,835) in view of Chang (U.S. Pub No. 2002/0051200).

Regarding claims 1, 2, and 5-11, Treyz teaches receiving advertisements (in the form of ingredients categorized and stored into a recipe) on a wireless device and relaying those advertisements to a kiosk in a store for printing (Column 53, Lines 10-60 and Figures 91-92). The recipe of Treyz is symbolic of advertisement layout information (logos and special offers), advertisement type information (different items included in the recipe), and profile information (which portable device the recipe was sent to) (Figure 92). Treyz does not appear to specify any particular processes that take place once the request to print is sent from the portable terminal. Chang teaches sending a request from a portable apparatus to print a document (Abstract). The request is sent to an output controller, which organizes the information and synchronizes it for the

Art Unit: 3622

appropriate printer, which in turn prints the document (Paragraphs 0028-0033). Chang also teaches authentication (registering), in which user ID and security keys (passwords) are traded between the portable device, output controller, and printer device (Paragraphs 0137 and 0176). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow printing from Treyz using the system of Chang. This would provide more security flexibility in choosing a printer to the consumer.

Regarding claim 4, while Treyz teaches email as being available through the system (Figure 92, Reference 880), neither Treyz nor Chang appears to specify sending advertisement information to the wireless device through email. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to send advertisement information to the user in whatever old and well-known format would work best for the user and system, including email.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant argues "Treyz fails to disclose advertisement information contains advertisement information, advertisement type information, and user profile information which is added to address information of the portable terminal and used to determine items suited for a user of the portable terminal who is a target of the advertisement". In the above rejection, Examiner stated "The recipe of Treyz is symbolic of... profile information (which portable device the recipe was sent to)". To send a requested recipe to a user device, the system must inherently have information identifying the wireless

Art Unit: 3622

device that is the target of the transfer. This is considered to read on the broad limitation "profile information added to address information". The system would not send the recipe to the wireless device of a user that did not request it, and thus, the profile/address information of the wireless device is used to target items to a user (get the recipe to the right device).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL BEKERMAN whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/084,636 Page 5

Art Unit: 3622

(571)272-3256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 -

3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eric W. Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 3622

/Eric W. Stamber/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3622